PENNSYLVANIA GAME COMMISSION BUREAU OF WILDLIFE MANAGEMENT PROJECT ANNUAL JOB REPORT

PROJECT CODE NO.: 06210

TITLE: White-tailed Deer Research/Management

PROJECT JOB NO.: 21012

TITLE: Wildlife Management Unit Citizen Advisory Committees

PERIOD COVERED: 1 July 2007 through 30 June 2008

COOPERATING AGENCIES: Bureau of Management Consulting

WORK LOCATION(S): Statewide

PREPARED BY: Jeannine Tardiff Fleegle and Christopher Rosenberry

DATE: 28 April 2008

ABSTRACT Citizen Advisory Committees (CACs) have been used by the Pennsylvania Game Commission (PGC) since 2006 to improve public involvement in deer management, and address human/deer conflicts. CACs were conducted in Wildlife Management Units (WMUs) 2A, 4C, 4D, 4E, and 5A. Committee members communicated population goal recommendations based on input actively solicited and obtained from individuals within each member's stakeholder group. CAC consensus recommendations were: to stabilize the deer population in WMU 2A; and to increase the deer populations 20% in WMU 4C; 15% in WMU 4D; 40% in WMU 4E; and 12% in WMU 5A. Individual final reports for each WMU were completed and are available on the PGC's website, www.pgc.state.pa.us. Deer Section staff considered CAC recommendations as one of the 3 measures (i.e., deer health, forest habitat health, and CAC recommendation) used to formulate its antlerless license recommendation to the Board of Commissioners. From 2006 to 2008, CACs have convened in 10 WMUs. Five more (WMUs 1A, 2E, 3A, 4A and 5B) are scheduled for 2009.

OBJECTIVES

- 1. To provide an opportunity to inform stakeholders on the mission of the Game Commission, complexities of deer management, and the importance of proper management.
- 2. To provide an opportunity for the Game Commission to understand stakeholder values regarding deer management.
- 3. To provide an opportunity for stakeholders to interact with one another, and increase understanding of different stakeholder values and concerns.

4. To provide an opportunity for stakeholders to have direct input concerning deer population objectives for a WMU.

METHODS

With the aid of trained, independent facilitators, committee members worked together to provide a deer population objective recommendation to the Bureau of Wildlife Management's Deer Section. The Deer Section considered this recommended population objective in its deer management recommendations for the Wildlife Management Unit (WMU) in question.

Each member represented a group of stakeholders and was vested with the decision-making power of that group. Members were to present values and concerns of their stakeholder group and not their own individual viewpoint. Members were encouraged to consider all relevant biological and social data as it pertained to their constituents. Input was sought from all members equally. Members were to foster a cooperative atmosphere and worked towards consensus on a recommendation for a deer population objective. Recommendations were made by consensus, which was defined as all but one member in agreement. The Committee was disbanded following completion of the assigned tasks.

Game Commission staff worked with the committee as advisory members. Staff provided technical information on deer management including biological and social data and needed background material. Game Commission staff had no voting or vetoing power within the meetings. Independent facilitators worked with the Game Commission staff to choose stakeholder groups to be represented. Facilitators interviewed and selected members to the advisory committee and were responsible for organizing and conducting committee meetings. Facilitators were also the main point of contact for committee members. Facilitators were responsible for focusing advisory committee interaction in a positive manner.

If the committee reached consensus, the recommendation formed the basis of the public input recommendation for the deer population objective in the WMU in question for the next 5 years. Deer Section staff considered the recommendation as one of the 3 measures (i.e., deer health, forest habitat health, and CAC recommendation) used to formulate its recommendation to the Board of Commissioners. If consensus was not reached, the Deer Section would consider the input of all members and information, and recommend an appropriate deer population trend to the Commission.

RESULTS

CACs were organized in WMUs 2A, 4C, 4D, 4E, and 5A. CAC consensus recommendations were: to stabilize the deer population in WMU 2A; and to increase deer populations 20% in WMU 4C; 15% in WMU 4D; 40% in WMU 4E; and 12% in WMU 5A. Individual final reports for each WMU are available on the PGC's website, www.pgc.state.pa.us. Stakeholder groups represented on these committees can found in Table 1. The Deer Section considered recommendations of the CACs in conjunction with measures of deer and forest habitat health when making recommendations to the Board of Commissioners. Status of CACs in each WMU can be found in Table 2.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. Obtain a commitment for a primary and secondary representative from each stakeholder group for different geographic areas of the WMU.
- 2. Retain the requirement that no representative shall hold an office or position of authority for his stakeholder group, hold an elected office, or be a present or past affiliate of the Pennsylvania Game Commission (PGC). This increases community involvement and benefits the credibility of the CAC process.
- 3. Maintain the current time frame for meetings, i.e., Meeting 1 early February, Meeting 2 early March.
- 4. Begin identifying potential members in the summer, July/August, through 4 avenues: PGC field staff, PGC website, press release to the public, and outside organizations and agencies.
- 5. Continue to use facilitators not associated with the Game Commission.
- Continue to minimize direct involvement of Game Commission staff and stakeholder organizations to keep the CAC process a free exchange of ideas and information between stakeholders.

Table 1. Stakeholder groups for each Citizen Advisory Committee.

	WMU	WMU	WMU	WMU	WMU
Stakeholder Group	2A	4C	4D	4E	5A
Homeowners		\checkmark	\checkmark		√a
Resident Sportsman	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	√a
Nonresident Sportsmen			\checkmark		
Conservationist	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Business	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Forestry	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Agriculture		\checkmark^1	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Public Landowner	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		
Highway Safety	✓a	\checkmark	\checkmark		
Rural Non-agricultural Landowner	✓a	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	√a
Tourism			✓		

^a Representative did not attend second meetings.

Table 2. Status of Citizen Advisory Committee (CAC) in each WMU

WMU	Year CAC completed	Deer population recommendation	Percent (%) deer population increase or decrease	Deer Section recommendation ^b
1A	2009^{a}			
1B	2007	Increase	15	Stabilize
2A	2008	Stabilize	N/A	Stabilize
2B	2010^{a}			

2C	2007	Increase	25-50	Stabilize
2D	2010^{a}			
2E	2009^{a}			
2F	2011 ^a			
2G	2010^{a}			
3A	2009^{a}			
3B	2007	Stabilize	N/A	Stabilize
3C	2010^{a}			
3D	2011 ^a			
4A	2009^{a}			
4B	2006	Increase	10-20	Increase
4C	2008	Increase	20	Stabilize
4D	2008	Increase	15	Stabilize
4E	2008	Increase	40	Increase
5A	2008	Increase	12	Increase
5B	2009^{a}			
5C	2007	Decrease	40	Decrease
5D	2010^{a}			

 ^a Anticipated year CAC will be completed. This is subject to change.
^b Deer Section recommendations are based on measures of deer health, forest habitat health, and input from each CAC. In some cases, Deer Section recommendations will not match CAC recommendations because of low deer or forest habitat health measures.