PENNSYLVANIA GAME COMMISSION BUREAU OF WILDLIFE MANAGEMENT PROJECT ANNUAL JOB REPORT

PROJECT CODE NO.: 06210

TITLE: White-tailed Deer Research/Management

PROJECT JOB NO.: 21013

TITLE: Survival, mortality causes, and antlered harvest rates of white-tailed deer in Pennsylvania

PERIOD COVERED: 1 July 2007 through 30 June 2008

COOPERATING AGENCIES: Pennsylvania Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit, The

Pennsylvania State University, Department of Conservation and Natural Resources

WORK LOCATION(S): Wildlife Management Units (WMUs) 2G and 4B

PREPARED BY: Bret Wallingford, Christopher Rosenberry, and Andrew Norton

DATE: 1 June 2008

ABSTRACT Survival, mortality causes, and antlered harvest rates are vital parameters to improving reliability of deer population trends. Using radio-collared white-tailed deer, we will estimate and model survival and antlered harvest rates for application to Pennsylvania's deer population monitoring techniques. From January to April 2008, we captured 222 individual deer in Wildlife Management Units (WMUs) 2G and 4B. With deer from previous years and new captures, we were monitoring 353 deer following the capture period. This is the second year of a multi-year study.

OBJECTIVES

- 1. Estimate survival and mortality causes of white-tailed deer.
- 2. Quantify effect of variables on survival.
- 3. Estimate harvest rates of antlered white-tailed deer.
- 4. Quantify effects of variables on harvest rates of antlered white-tailed deer.

METHODS

Northern and southern study areas were located in Wildlife Management Units (WMUs) 2G and 4B. These WMUs represented 2 of 5 physiographic units within the WMU system Pennsylvania. Based on deer, habitat, and human-related characteristics, the study area WMUs were selected to represent larger groups of WMUs across Pennsylvania. Field activities occur across a broad area within each

WMU to increase variability of survival and harvest covariates, thus improving biological inference of the relationship between survival and harvests and covariates (Steury et al. 2002).

We used drop nets (Conner et al. 1987), rocket nets, and modified Clover traps (Clover 1954, McCullough 1975) baited with corn to capture deer. Deer captured using drop-nets and rocket nets were sedated with a light, intramuscular (IM) dose of xylazine hydrochloride (XYL), and face-masked. XYL was delivered via hand syringe at about 0.6 mg/kg body weight, or about 20 mg for a fawn, 30 mg for a yearling, and 40 mg for an adult. These dosages were well below the dosage recommended by Bubenik (1982) for immobilization of white-tailed deer using xylazine alone; complete sedation was not required to facilitate handling deer tangled in the nets. Deer captured with Clover traps were manually restrained and face-masked.

When captured, all deer were fitted with an ear tag in each ear. All suitable male and female deer were fitted with standard VHF radiocollars that use microchip technology to indicate time of mortality, and released at the capture site. A subset of deer were fitted with GPS radiocollars that will obtain detailed movement (e.g., bi-hourly locations) information. Handling protocols were approved by the Pennsylvania State University (PSU) Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

Deer manually restrained by personnel were immediately released after individual markers were applied. Chemical immobilizations were antagonized with IM injections of tolazoline hydrochloride (TOL; 4.0 mg/kg) because it provides a more consistent antagonism of xylazine than yohimbine hydrochloride (Kreeger 1996).

Survival and locations of radio-collared deer were monitored at varying intervals throughout the year. During capture periods, deer survival was monitored at least once per week. Following capture periods, we collected at least 1 location per deer per week. Telemetry effort depended on availability of personnel.

Mortalities were investigated within a day or 2 of detection. Field examinations to determine cause of death were performed when possible; however, if cause of death was uncertain and the carcass was in suitable condition, animals were taken to the Animal Diagnostics Laboratory at Pennsylvania State University for a complete necropsy.

Radio-collared deer will provide information on survival and mortality causes. Survival estimates will be calculated using Kaplan-Meier staggered entry design (Pollock et al. 1989) because animals will be added as they are captured, they can be censored when contact is lost, and there is no assumption of constant survival over a time interval. Since mortality may increase due to weather events during winter (White et al. 1987), making an assumption of constant daily survival over a period of months (Heisey and Fuller 1985) during winter appears unrealistic. Sample sizes of 40-50 deer will be required on the air at all times to achieve good precision of survival estimates (Pollock et al. 1989). Consequently, our objective for radio-collared deer is 70 animals per study site to allow for mortalities and loss of radio contact.

Estimating antlered harvest rates will be completed using the same methods as described above for survival.

Numerous covariates such as winter severity, condition of deer, age of deer, predation, and human-related factors such as road density can influence non-hunting survival. To assess the effect of these covariates on non-hunting survival of white-tailed deer, measurements of these variables for home ranges of individual deer will be modeled in relation to the deer's survival using logistic regression (Hosmer and Lemeshow 1989). Home ranges will be estimated using Kernal methods. Recommended sample sizes of locations of at least 30 locations per animal (Seaman et al. 1999) may not be logistically possible with personnel funding available. As a result, a subset of radio-collared deer will be located at least twice a week throughout the non-capture period. For radio-collared deer without sufficient home range sample sizes, including deer that die prior to accumulation of at least 30 locations, we will create circular buffers within which habitat characteristics will be assessed. These buffers will be based on the median home range sizes of the subset of radio-collared deer for each study area (Vreeland et al. 2004). A series of candidate models containing likely combination of covariates will be developed with the best model(s) chosen using Akaike's information criterion (AIC) methods (Burnham and Anderson 1998).

Effect of variables, such as forest cover and public lands, on antlered harvest rates will be estimated using the same methods as described above for survival.

RESULTS

From January to April 2008, we captured 222 white-tailed deer (Table 1).

In WMU 2G, 116 deer were captured on State Forests, State Game Lands, and private lands. Sixty-eight males and 126 females were being monitored following the capture period.

In WMU 4B, 106 deer were captured on State Forests, State Game Lands, and private lands. Fifty-one males and 108 females were being monitored following the capture period.

From July 2007 to June 2008, 83 mortalities were recorded (Table 2). Harvest related mortalities accounted for 16 and 36 radio-marked deer in WMU 2G and 4B, respectively. Non-harvest mortalities in WMU 2G were attributed to poaching (1), capture (4), automobile collisions (2), natural causes (2), and unknown causes (1). Non-harvest mortalities in WMU 4B were attributed to poaching (1), capture (5), automobile collisions (5), natural causes (7), and unknown causes (3).

RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. Continue telemetry monitoring of survival and movements of male and female deer.
- 2. Continue telemetry monitoring of harvest rates of antlered deer.
- 3. Continue winter capture activities to replenish study animal sample sizes.
- 4. Conduct analyses of survival, movements, and antlered harvest rates.
- 5. Incorporate results of analyses into population monitoring methods.

LITERATURE CITED

- Bubenik, G. A. 1982. Chemical immobilization of captive white-tailed deer and the use of automatic blood samplers. Pages 335-354 *in* L. C. Nielsen, J. C. Haigh, and M. E. Fowler, editors. Chemical immobilization of North American wildlife. Wisconsin Humane Society, Milwaukee, USA.
- Burnham, K. P. and D. R. Anderson. 1998. Model selection and inference: a practical information-theoretic approach. Springer-Verlag, New York, New York, USA.
- Conner, M. C., E. C. Soutiere, and R. A. Lancia. 1987. Drop-netting deer: costs and incidence of capture myopathy. Wildlife Society Bulletin 15:434-438.
- Clover, M. R. 1954. A portable deer trap and catch-net. California Fish and Game 40:367-373.
- Heisey, D. M., and T. K. Fuller. 1985. Evaluation of survival and cause-specific mortality rates using telemetry data. Journal of Wildlife Management 49:668-674.
- Hosmer, D. W., and S. Lemeshow. 1989. Applied logistic regression. John Wiley & Sons, New York, New York, USA.
- Kreeger, T. J. 1996. Handbook of wildlife chemical immobilization. International Wildlife Veterinary Services, Laramie, Wyoming, USA.
- McCullough, D. R. 1975. Modification of the Clover deer trap. California Fish and Game 61:242-244.
- Pollock, K. H., S. R. Winterstein, C. M. Bunck, and P. D. Curtis. 1989. Survival analysis in telemetry studies: the staggered entry design. Journal of Wildlife Management 53:7-15.
- Seaman, D. E., J. J. Millsbaugh, B. J. Kernohan, G. C. Brundige, K. J. Raedeke, and R. A. Gitzen. 1999. Effects of sample size on kernal home range estimates. Journal of Wildlife Management 63:739-747.
- Steury, T. D., A. J. Wirsing, and D. L. Murray. 2002. Using multiple treatment levels as a means of improving inference in wildlife research. Journal of Wildlife Management 66:292-299.
- Vreeland, J. K., D. R. Diefenbach, and B. D. Wallingford. 2004. Survival rates, mortality causes, and habitats of Pennsylvania white-tailed deer fawns. Wildlife Society Bulletin 32:542-553.
- White, G. C., R. A. Garrott, R. M. Bartmann, L. H. Carpenter, and A. W. Alldredge. 1987. Survival of mule deer in northwest Colorado. Journal of Wildlife Management 51:852-859.

Table 1. White-tailed deer captures including recaptures reported in parentheses by sex and age class from January - April 2008 in WMUs 2G and 4B, Pennsylvania. An adult is classified as an animal 1.5 years old or older.

	WMU		
Sex/age class	2G	4B	All captures
Male adults	17 (3)	16 (1)	37 (4)

Male fawns	31 (11)	25 (1)	68 (12)
Female adults	42 (14)	30 (8)	94 (22)
Female fawns	26 (9)	35 (0)	70 (9)
Total	116 (37)	106 (10)	222 (47)

Table 2. Mortality causes for white-tailed deer in Pennsylvania, July 2007 - June 2008.

Tubic 2. Wiorumty causes in		WMU		
Mortality cause	2G	4B	– Total	
Legal harvest	16	36	52	
Male adults	10	15	25	
Male fawns	a	a	a	
Female adults	6	21	27	
Female fawns	a	a	a	
Poaching	1	1	2	
Male adults	0	0	0	
Male fawns	0	0	0	
Female adults	1	1	2	
Female fawns	0	0	0	
Capture-related	4	5	9	
Male adults	1	2	3	
Male fawns	1	2	3	
Female adults	0	0	0	
Female fawns	2	1	3	
Roadkill	2	5	7	
Male adults	0	2	2	
Male fawns	0	0	0	
Female adults	1	3	4	
Female fawns	1	0	1	
Natural Causes	2	7	9	
Male adults	0	3	3	
Male fawns	0	0	0	
Female adults	1	4	5	
Female fawns	1	0	1	
Unknown	1	3	4	
Male adults	1	0	1	
Male fawns	0	0	0	
Female adults	0	3	3	
Female fawns	0	0	0	
Total	26	57	83	
Male adults	12	22	34	
Male fawns	1	2	3	
Female adults	9	32	41	
Female fawns	4	1	5	

^a Fawns less than 7 months-old are not marked during the hunting seasons.