# Annual Deer Population Report \& 2020-21 Antlerless License Allocations Recommendations 



March 25, 2020

Pennsylvania Game Commission<br>Bureau of Wildlife Management<br>Deer and Elk Section

Summary of 2020-21 Antlerless Allocations to Achieve Deer Plan Goals

|  |  |  |  | 2020-21 Deer Plan <br> Recommendation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| WMU | Population <br> Trend | Deer Plan <br> Population <br> Objective | 2019-20 <br> Approved <br> Allocation | 14-day <br> Concurrent | 7-day <br> Concurrent | Comments |

Data presented in this report represent collaborative efforts between the U.S. Forest Service, Pennsylvania's Department of Conservation and Natural Resources, the Pennsylvania Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit at Penn State University, Responsive Management, and the Game Commission's bureaus of Information and Education, Wildlife Habitat Management, and Wildlife Management. For more information on the deer management program and data and methods used to assess progress towards management goals, visit the Game Commission's website, www.pgc.pa.gov, to find the "2009-2018 White-tailed Deer Management Plan".

## Deer Management Goals

Deer management goals direct Game Commission staff in formulating deer management recommendations. Current management goals that directly affect antlerless allocations are to manage deer for healthy deer, healthy forest habitat, and acceptable levels of deer-human conflicts. These goals were identified by a group of public stakeholders in 2002 and continue to be supported by a clear majority of Pennsylvania citizens and hunters (Figure 1).


Figure 1. Percent of respondents that agree with deer management goals. The citizen survey was completed by Responsive Management in the fall of 2011, and the deer hunter survey was completed by the Deer and Elk Section and Bureau of Wildlife Management in the fall of 2017.

## Step-by-Step Deer Management Recommendation Guide

The deer management program considers data for each goal to arrive at a deer population recommendation in a defined process (see pages 7 and 8 ). This process has been revised as new data are incorporated into the program and will continue to evolve as more data and understanding are gained. Decision points (i.e., fawn to doe ratio declining?) are based on published protocols from the wildlife and forestry professions.

## Do PA residents want fewer or more deer?

This question is answered using results of the survey conducted by Responsive Management of Pennsylvania residents in 2019. If most surveyed residents in a WMU want less deer, the recommendation would be to reduce the deer population. If the deer health goal is met, forest habitat is good, and WMU residents want more deer, the recommendation would be to increase the deer population.

## Is CWD present in free-ranging deer?

This question is answered using results from the thousands of deer tested annually for chronic wasting disease (CWD). If CWD is present in free-ranging deer, then management recommendations are to stabilize or reduce WMU populations. Additional antlerless deer can be removed using Deer Management Assistance Program permits in accordance with the CWD response plan. Increasing the antlerless harvest serves 2 purposes that are important to efforts to contain CWD; (1) increased antlerless harvest removes more deer from the population and allows the Game Commission to test more deer in our efforts to obtain the best information on the extent of the disease, and (2) increased antlerless harvest can reduce deer populations and spread of CWD.

## Is fawn to doe ratio declining?

This question is answered using results from the age structure of the antlerless harvest. These data are collected each year by trained Game Commission deer agers from across the state. If the proportion of fawns in the antlerless harvest (hereafter referred to as fawn to doe ratio) is declining and the population is not achieving its objective (i.e., population is declining and objective is to maintain a stable deer population), then the antlerless allocation would be reduced to stop the population decline. The antlerless harvest will have the greatest influence on the population because hunting accounts for most deer mortalities in Pennsylvania. If the fawn to doe ratio is stable or if the population is meeting its objective (i.e., population is stable and objective is stable), no management action is taken.

## Has deer population been stable or increasing for 6 years?

This question is answered using results from the Pennsylvania Sex-Age-Kill deer population model and deer harvest indices (i.e., antlered harvest, antlerless catch-per-unit-effort). The 6-year time period is necessary because of the 5-year time period to collect the forest data. The sixth
year is added because only $2^{\text {nd }}$ year seedlings are counted in the forest data. As a result, a complete forest data set includes effects of deer from the previous 6 years.

If the deer population is decreasing the recommendation is to stabilize the population at the lower level to see if forest habitat improves given the lower deer population. If the deer population is stable or increasing, the process continues to the next step.

## Is forest habitat good?

This question is answered using results from the Pennsylvania Regeneration Study. If $70 \%$ of forested plots have adequate regeneration, forest habitat is considered good. If less than $50 \%$ of forested plots have adequate regeneration, forest habitat is considered poor. If $50 \%$ to $70 \%$ of forested plots have adequate regeneration, forest habitat is considered fair.

## Is plot to plot regeneration improving?

This question is answered using results from the Pennsylvania Regeneration Study. In this step, results from individual plots are compared in a paired analysis. For example, plot measurements from 2005 are compared to their remeasured results in 2010 to see if regeneration has improved on individual plots. All plots with 2 measures are included in this analysis. If regeneration is improving, then the deer population trend can be stabilized. If regeneration is not improving, the process continues to the next step.

## Is plot to plot deer impact improving?

This question is answered using results from the Pennsylvania Regeneration Study. In this step, results from assessments of deer impact on a scale from 1 (very low) to 5 (very high) are compared in the same way as the plot to plot regeneration analysis. If deer impact is improving (i.e., going from a 4 [high] to 3 [moderate]) on enough plots, then the deer population trend can be stabilized. If deer impact is not improving, the process continues to the next step.

## Is mean deer impact 3 or less?

This question is answered from the Pennsylvania Regeneration Study. In this step, the mean deer impact for all plots measured in the most recent 5-year period is statistically compared to an objective of 3 (i.e., moderate impact). If deer impact is significantly greater than 3 (moderate), then the deer impact is too high and the deer population should be reduced. If deer impact is less than or not different from 3 (moderate) then the deer population trend can be stabilized.

Guides on pages 7 and 8 are used to develop deer population recommendations based on goals and objectives of deer management plan. Recommendation guide for WMUs 2B, 5C, and 5D differs because of lack of forest data in these highly developed WMUs.


# Deer Management Recommendation Guide 

## FOR WMUs 2B, 5C, and 5D



## Step-by-Step Antlerless License Allocation Calculations

Antlerless allocations are calculated by referring to results from previous seasons. For example, if a population has remained stable with an annual harvest of 3,000 , the same level of harvest would be expected to maintain the stable population. If it has taken 3 antlerless licenses to harvest 1 antlerless deer over the last 3 years, the allocation to stabilize this population would be 3,000 antlerless deer harvested x 3 licenses/antlerless deer harvested $=9,000$ antlerless licenses.

Table 1. Antlerless licenses needed to harvest 1 antlerless deer
(license/deer) based on historic results for each WMU, March 2020.

| WMU | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9 - 2 0}$ | 3-year Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1A | 4.1 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 3.9 |
| 1B | 2.7 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 2.6 |
| 2A | 4.6 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 4.4 |
| 2B | 3.9 | 3.8 | 4.3 | 4.0 |
| 2C | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.0 |
| 2D | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.8 | 3.4 |
| 2E | 3.5 | 3.1 | 4.2 | 3.6 |
| 2F | 3.3 | 3.1 | 3.5 | 3.3 |
| 2G | 4.6 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 4.3 |
| 2H | 3.7 | 3.3 | 5.6 | 4.2 |
| 3A | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| 3B | 4.3 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.8 |
| 3C | 3.5 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 3.4 |
| 3D | 5.9 | 4.4 | 5.1 | 5.1 |
| 4A | 4.6 | 5.5 | 5.8 | 5.3 |
| 4B | 3.7 | 3.9 | 4.4 | 4.0 |
| 4C | 4.5 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 4.3 |
| 4D | 4.0 | 3.9 | 4.5 | 4.2 |
| 4E | 3.1 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 3.4 |
| 5A | 5.7 | 4.9 | 4.4 | 5.0 |
| 5B | 4.4 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 4.3 |
| 5C | 4.4 | 4.2 | 4.8 | 4.5 |
| 5D | 3.9 | 4.6 | 4.3 | 4.3 |

Trend in Fawn to Doe Ratios, 2014 to 2019
(Supporting data in WMU worksheets, pages 24 to 69)


Bureau of Wildlife Management, Deer and Elk Section March 2020

Forest Regeneration, 2014 to 2018
(Supporting data in WMU worksheets, pages 24 to 69)


Bureau of Wildlife Management, Deer and Elk Section
March 2020

## Plot to Plot Change in Regeneration, 5-year Change

(Supporting data in WMU worksheets, pages 24 to 69)


## Legend

Declining Regeneration
No Change in Regeneration
Improving Regeneration

Bureau of Wildlife Management, Deer and Elk Section
March 2020

## Plot to Plot Change in Deer Impact, 5-year Change

(Supporting data in WMU worksheets, pages 24 to 69)


Bureau of Wildlife Management, Deer and Elk Section

Deer Impact Level, 2014 to 2018
(Supporting data in WMU worksheets, pages 24 to 69)


## Legend

Deer Impact is Too High (> 3)
Deer Impact is Acceptable (3 or less)


Bureau of Wildlife Management, Deer and Elk Section March 2020

## Post-Hunt Deer Population Trends, 2015 to 2020

(Supporting data in WMU worksheets, pages 24 to 69)


Bureau of Wildlife Management, Deer and Elk Section
March 2020

Pennsylvania Residents Opinions on Deer Populations, 2019
(Supporting data in WMU worksheets, pages 24 to 69)


## Legend

Most Residents Say Deer Population Too High
Most Residents Say Deer Population Just Right
Most Residents Say Deer Population Too Low

Bureau of Wildlife Management, Deer and Elk Section March 2020

## Residents Opinions on Deer Populations 2011 vs. 2019



## Legend

More than 25\% say Deer Population Too High
Less than $25 \%$ say Deer Population Too High and less than $25 \%$ say Too Low
More than $25 \%$ say Deer Population Too Low


Bureau of Wildlife Management, Deer and Elk Section
March 2020

## Deer Hunters Opinions on Deer Populations 2011 vs. 2017



## Legend

More than 25\% say Deer Population Too High
Less than $25 \%$ say Deer Population Too High and less than $25 \%$ say Too Low
More than $25 \%$ say Deer Population Too Low


Bureau of Wildlife Management, Deer and Elk Section
March 2020

## Chronic Wasting Disease Management Areas, March 2020



## Legend

Chronic Wasting Disease Management Areas
WMUs with CWD Detected in Wild Deer
WMUs with no CWD Positive Wild Deer Detected


## 2019-20 Regular Firearms Seasons

In 2001, a 12-day concurrent antlered and antlerless firearms season began. The objectives of this longer antlerless season were to give hunters more time to hunt antlerless deer and to create a more consistent harvest from year to year. Beginning in 2008, some WMUs were changed to a 5-day antlered only season followed by a 7-day concurrent antlered and antlerless season. In 2010, 2011, 2014, 2015, and 2017 additional WMUs were changed to the $5 / 7$ season format.


## 2019-20 Antlered Deer Harvest Density

(Antlered deer harvested per square mile of area)


## Legend

Less than 2 antlered deer per square mile
2.0 to 3.0 antlered deer per square mile
3.1 to 4.0 antlered deer per square mile

More than 4.0 antlered deer per square mile

Bureau of Wildlife Management, Deer and Elk Section March 2020

## Recommendation Guides and Deer Population Datasheets

Recommendation guides (see pages 7 and 8) provide a step-by-step progression through the deer plan goals and measurable objectives to arrive at a deer population recommendation.

Supporting data for these guides are found in the individual WMU datasheets that follow.

## WMU Antlerless Allocation Worksheets

Example



2020-21 Pennsylvania Game Commission Antlerless Allocation Worksheet


RED=7 day season

| POST-HUNT Deer Population | Trend |
| :--- | :--- |


| Year | Total |
| ---: | ---: |
| 2008 | 34,007 |
| 2009 | 36,152 |
| 2010 | 44,148 |
| 2011 | 41,549 |
| 2012 | 42,420 |
| 2013 | 48,472 |
| 2014 | 55,114 |
| 2015 | 49,169 |
| 2016 | 62,237 |
| 2017 | 65,707 |
| 2018 | 53,244 |
| 2019 | 46,208 |
| 2020 | 51,804 |




| FOREST HEALTH |  |  | Regeneration Assessment | Fair |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Plot - Plot Regeneration No change | Plot - Plot Deer Impact | No change | Mean Deer Impact |
|  | 3 or less |  |  |  |


| Year | \% Adequate |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2003-07$ | $53 \%$ |
| $2004-08$ | $54 \%$ |
| $2005-09$ | $55 \%$ |
| $2006-10$ | $57 \%$ |
| $2007-11$ | $55 \%$ |
| $2008-12$ | $53 \%$ |
| $2009-13$ | $57 \%$ |
| $2010-14$ | $55 \%$ |
| $2011-15$ | $54 \%$ |
| $2012-16$ | $53 \%$ |
| $2013-17$ | $50 \%$ |
| $2014-18$ | $64 \%$ |



| Citizen Survey Results 2019 (2011) | Too High | 26\%(16\%) Just Right | 55\%(54\%) | Too Low | 13\%(23\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Antlerless Allocation Recommendation |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Increase | Stable | Decrease |
| Firearm Season Option | Harvest | Harvest | Harvest |
| 7 day antlered \& 7 day concurrent | 57,000 | $\mathbf{4 9 , 0 0 0}$ | 42,000 |
| 14 day concurrent | 46,000 | $\mathbf{3 9 , 0 0 0}$ | 34,000 |

[^0]2020-21 Pennsylvania Game Commission Antlerless Allocation Worksheet



| FOREST HEALTH |  | Regeneration Assessment | Fair |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Plot-Plot Regeneration No change | Plot-Plot Deer Impact | No change |



| Citizen Survey Results | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ (2011) | Too High | $11 \%$ | Just Right | $56 \%$ | Too Low | $26 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Antlerless Allocation Options |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Increase | Stable | Decrease |
| Firearm Season Option | Harvest | Harvest | Harvest |
| 7 day antlered \& 7 day concurrent | $\mathbf{4 1 , 0 0 0}$ | 36,000 | 30,000 |
| 14 day concurrent | $\mathbf{3 5 , 0 0 0}$ | 30,000 | $\mathbf{2 5 , 0 0 0}$ |

[^1]2020-21 Pennsylvania Game Commission Antlerless Allocation Worksheet


RED=7-day season

| POST-HUNT Deer Population | Trend |
| :--- | :--- |


| Year | Total |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2008 | 45,462 |
| 2009 | 50,336 |
| 2010 | 56,286 |
| 2011 | 49,033 |
| 2012 | 68,080 |
| 2013 | 53,996 |
| 2014 | 43,379 |
| 2015 | 30,033 |
| 2016 | 48,723 |
| 2017 | 57,963 |
| 2018 | 46,361 |
| 2019 | 44,587 |
| 2020 | 61,486 |




| FOREST HEALTH |  | Regeneration Assessment | Poor |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Plot - Plot Regeneration No change | Plot - Plot Deer Impact | No change | Mean Deer Impact |
|  | 3 or less |  |  |  |


| Citizen Survey Results | 2019 (2011) | Too High | 25\% | Just Right | $56 \%$ | Too Low | 13\% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Antlerless Allocation Options |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Increase | Stable | Decrease |
| Firearm Season Option | Harvest | Harvest | Harvest |
| 7 day antlered \& 7 day concurrent | 54,000 | $\mathbf{4 6 , 0 0 0}$ | 38,000 |
| 14 day concurrent | 47,000 | $\mathbf{4 0 , 0 0 0}$ | 33,000 |

[^2]
## 2020-21 Pennsylvania Game Commission Antlerless Allocation Worksheet




| Year \%Adequate |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2003-07$ |  |
| $2004-08$ |  |
| $2005-09$ |  |
| $2006-10$ |  |
| $2007-11$ |  |
| $2008-12$ |  |
| $2009-13$ | Forest data not considered in this developed WMU |


| Citizen Survey Results | 2019 (2011) | Too High | $38 \%(32 \%)$ | Just Right | $52 \%$ | Too Low | $9 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Antlerless Allocation Options |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Increase <br> Firearm Season Option | Stable | Decrease |
| Harvest | Harvest | Harvest |  |
| 14 day concurrent | 54,000 | 49,000 | 44,000 |

[^3]2020-21 Pennsylvania Game Commission Antlerless Allocation Worksheet


RED=7-day season

| POST-HUNT Deer Population | Trend |
| :--- | :--- |


| Year | Total |
| :---: | ---: |
| 2008 | 87,046 |
| 2009 | 72,402 |
| 2010 | 62,340 |
| 2011 | 66,729 |
| 2012 | 64,888 |
| 2013 | 61,386 |
| 2014 | 68,683 |
| 2015 | 66,027 |
| 2016 | 83,350 |
| 2017 | 69,034 |
| 2018 | 113,659 |
| 2019 | 86,087 |
| 2020 | 97,246 |




| FOREST HEALTH |  |  | Regeneration Assessment | Fair |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Plot-Plot Regeneration No change | Plot-Plot Deer Impact | Increasing | Mean Deer Impact |
|  | 3 or less |  |  |  |


| Year | \% Adequate |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2003-07$ | $54 \%$ |
| $2004-08$ | $59 \%$ |
| $2005-09$ | $58 \%$ |
| $2006-10$ | $58 \%$ |
| $2007-11$ | $59 \%$ |
| $2008-12$ | $56 \%$ |
| $2009-13$ | $57 \%$ |
| $2010-14$ | $58 \%$ |
| $2011-15$ | $62 \%$ |
| $2012-16$ | $63 \%$ |
| $2013-17$ | $60 \%$ |
| $2014-18$ | $58 \%$ |



| Citizen Survey Results 2019 (2011) | Too High | 19\%(13\%) Just Right | 52\%(50\%) | Too Low | 23\%(26\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Antlerless Allocation Options |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Increase | Stable | Decrease |
| Firearm Season Option | Harvest | Harvest | Harvest |
| 7 day antlered \& 7 day concurrent | $\mathbf{6 3 , 0 0 0}$ | 45,000 | 34,000 |
| 14 day concurrent | $\mathbf{5 8 , 0 0 0}$ | 41,000 | 31,000 |

[^4]2020-21 Pennsylvania Game Commission Antlerless Allocation Worksheet



| FOREST HEALTH |  | Regeneration Assessment | Fair |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Plot - Plot Regeneration No change | Plot - Plot Deer Impact | No change | Mean Deer Impact |


| Year | \% Adequate |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2003-07$ | $52 \%$ |
| $2004-08$ | $54 \%$ |
| $2005-09$ | $51 \%$ |
| $2006-10$ | $52 \%$ |
| $2007-11$ | $49 \%$ |
| $2008-12$ | $46 \%$ |
| $2009-13$ | $50 \%$ |
| $2010-14$ | $45 \%$ |
| $2011-15$ | $44 \%$ |
| $2012-16$ | $50 \%$ |
| $2013-17$ | $48 \%$ |
| $2014-18$ | $41 \%$ |



| Citizen Survey Results | 2019 (2011) | Too High | 26\%(23\%) Just Right | 57\%(52\%) | Too Low | 13\%(19\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Antlerless Allocation Options |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Increase | Stable | Decrease |
| Firearm Season Option | Harvest | Harvest | Harvest |
| 7 day antlered \& 7 day concurrent | $\mathbf{7 7 , 0 0 0}$ | 64,000 | 56,000 |
| 14 day concurrent | $\mathbf{6 0 , 0 0 0}$ | 50,000 | 44,000 |

[^5]2020-21 Pennsylvania Game Commission Antlerless Allocation Worksheet


RED=7-day season

| POST-HUNT Deer Population | Trend |
| :--- | :--- |


| Year | Total |
| :---: | ---: |
| 2008 | 32,623 |
| 2009 | 42,709 |
| 2010 | 38,317 |
| 2011 | 38,134 |
| 2012 | 30,384 |
| 2013 | 44,546 |
| 2014 | 45,529 |
| 2015 | 50,549 |
| 2016 | 43,081 |
| 2017 | 43,144 |
| 2018 | 56,635 |
| 2019 | 47,171 |
| 2020 | 62,753 |



| Year | Total |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2008 | 0.40 |
| 2009 | 0.37 |
| 2010 | 0.46 |
| 2011 | 0.41 |
| 2012 | 0.43 |
| 2013 | 0.40 |
| 2014 | 0.36 |
| 2015 | 0.36 |
| 2016 | 0.36 |
| 2017 | 0.33 |
| 2018 | 0.31 |
| 2019 | 0.33 |



| FOREST HEALTH |  | Regeneration Assessment |  | Fair |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Plot - Plot Regeneration No change | Plot - Plot Deer Impact | No change | Mean Deer Impact |
|  | 3 or less |  |  |  |


| Year | \% Adequate |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2003-07$ | $53 \%$ |
| $2004-08$ | $50 \%$ |
| $2005-09$ | $47 \%$ |
| $2006-10$ | $50 \%$ |
| $2007-11$ | $52 \%$ |
| $2008-12$ | $52 \%$ |
| $2009-13$ | $56 \%$ |
| $2010-14$ | $61 \%$ |
| $2011-15$ | $63 \%$ |
| $2012-16$ | $56 \%$ |
| $2013-17$ | $60 \%$ |
| $2014-18$ | $56 \%$ |



| Citizen Survey Results 2019 (2011) | Too High | 20\% (13\%) Just Right | 56\% (48\%) | Too Low | 22\%(31\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Antlerless Allocation Options |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Increase | Stable | Decrease |
| Firearm Season Option | Harvest | Harvest | Harvest |
| 7 day antlered \& 7 day concurrent | $\mathbf{3 9 , 0 0 0}$ | 31,000 | 26,000 |
| 14 day concurrent | $\mathbf{3 9 , 0 0 0}$ | 31,000 | 26,000 |

[^6]2020-21 Pennsylvania Game Commission Antlerless Allocation Worksheet


RED=7-day season



| FOREST HEALTH |  |  | Regeneration Assessment | Good |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Plot-Plot Regeneration No change | Plot - Plot Deer Impact | No change | Mean Deer Impact |
|  | 3 or less |  |  |  |



| Antlerless Allocation Options |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Increase | Stable | Decrease |
| Firearm Season Option | Harvest | Harvest | Harvest |
| 7 day antlered \& 7 day concurrent | $\mathbf{3 6 , 0 0 0}$ | 28,000 | 20,000 |
| 14 day concurrent | $\mathbf{2 8 , 0 0 0}$ | 22,000 | 16,000 |

[^7]2020-21 Pennsylvania Game Commission Antlerless Allocation Worksheet


RED=7-day season

| Deer Population | Trend |
| :--- | :--- |


| Year | Total |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2008 |  |
| 2009 | 55,234 |
| 2010 | 41,008 |
| 2011 | 45,743 |
| 2012 | 55,997 |
| 2013 | 57,014 |
| 2014 | 49,313 |
| 2015 | 40,343 |
| 2016 | 65,521 |
| 2017 | 67,942 |
| 2018 | 81,757 |
| 2019 | 55,221 |
| 2020 | 70,946 |




| FOREST HEALTH |  | Regeneration Assessment | Fair |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Plot-Plot Regeneration No change | Plot - Plot Deer Impact | Increasing | Mean Deer Impact |
| 3 | 3 or less |  |  |  |


| Year | \% Adequate |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2003-07$ | $53 \%$ |
| $2004-08$ | $53 \%$ |
| $2005-09$ | $54 \%$ |
| $2006-10$ | $54 \%$ |
| $2007-11$ | $55 \%$ |
| $2008-12$ | $56 \%$ |
| $2009-13$ | $55 \%$ |
| $2010-14$ | $54 \%$ |
| $2011-15$ | $56 \%$ |
| $2012-16$ | $52 \%$ |
| $2013-17$ | $55 \%$ |
| $2014-18$ | $52 \%$ |



| Citizen Survey Results | 2019 (2011) | Too High | 13\%(3\%) | Just Right | 49\%(39\%) | Too Low | 35\%(55\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Antlerless Allocation Options |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Increase | Stable | Decrease |
| Firearm Season Option | Harvest | Harvest | Harvest |
| 7 day antlered \& 7 day concurrent | 41,000 | $\mathbf{2 7 , 0 0 0}$ | 14,000 |
| 14 day concurrent | 34,000 | $\mathbf{2 2 , 0 0 0}$ | 12,000 |

[^8]
## 2020-21 Pennsylvania Game Commission Antlerless Allocation Worksheet

| WMU | 2H |  | WMU Characteristics |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \% Developed | \% Forest | \%Ag/Field | \%Public | Area (sq mi) |
|  |  |  | 4\% | 86\% | 6\% | 27\% | 1,001 |
| Deer Harvest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Antlered Harvest |  |  |
| Year | Antlered | Antlerless | Allocation Lic/Deer ${ }^{1}$ |  | 12,000 |  |  |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 10,000 |  |  |
| $2008$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 1,471 | 1,046 |  |  | 8,000 |  |  |
| 2010 | 1,670 | 990 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 1,323 | 1,321 |  |  | 6,000 |  |  |
| 2012 | 1,565 | 1,459 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | 1,475 | 1,657 | 6,000 | 3.7 |  |  |  |
| 2014 | 1,670 | 1,064 | 5,500 | 5.2 | 4,000 |  |  |
| 2015 | 1,426 | 1,419 | 6,500 | 4.6 |  |  |  |
| 2016 | 1,867 | 1,861 | 6,000 | 3.2 | 2,000 |  |  |
| 2017 | 1,726 | 1,889 | 7,000 | 3.7 |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 2,478 | 1,812 | 6,000 | 3.3 |  |  |  |
| 2019 | 2,404 | 1,086 | 6,000 | 5.6 |  |  |  |

RED=7-day season



| FOREST HEALTH |  | Regeneration Assessment | Fair |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Plot - Plot Regeneration No change | Plot - Plot Deer Impact No change* | Mean Deer Impact |


| Year | \% Adequate |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2003-07$ | $44 \%$ |
| $2004-08$ | $43 \%$ |
| $2005-09$ | $47 \%$ |
| $2006-10$ | $52 \%$ |
| $2007-11$ | $51 \%$ |
| $2008-12$ | $51 \%$ |
| $2009-13$ | $57 \%$ |
| $2010-14$ | $56 \%$ |
| $2011-15$ | $57 \%$ |
| $2012-16$ | $55 \%$ |
| $2013-17$ | $62 \%$ |
| $2014-18$ | $54 \%$ |



| Citizen Survey Results | 2019 (2011) | Too High | 13\%(3\%) | Just Right | 49\%(39\%) | Too Low | 35\%(55\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Antlerless Allocation Options |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Increase | Stable | Decrease |
| Firearm Season Option | Harvest | Harvest | Harvest |
| 7 day antlered \& 7 day concurrent | 11,000 | $\mathbf{7 , 0 0 0}$ | 3,000 |
| 14 day concurrent | 9,000 | $\mathbf{6 , 0 0 0}$ | 2,000 |
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RED=7-day season

| POST-HUNT Deer Population | Trend |
| :--- | :--- |


| Year | Total |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2008 | 32,425 |
| 2009 | 32,513 |
| 2010 | 31,412 |
| 2011 | 39,532 |
| 2012 | 31,224 |
| 2013 | 41,358 |
| 2014 | 45,317 |
| 2015 | 36,181 |
| 2016 | 49,307 |
| 2017 | 49,426 |
| 2018 | 55,441 |
| 2019 | 39,832 |
| 2020 | 54,040 |




| FOREST HEALTH |  | Regeneration Assessment | Fair |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Plot - Plot Regeneration No change | Plot - Plot Deer Impact | No change | Mean Deer Impact |
|  | 3 or less |  |  |  |


| Citizen Survey Results | 2019 (2011) | Too High | 18(3\%) | Just Right | 57\%(32\%) | Too Low | 21\%(59\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Antlerless Allocation Options |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Increase | Stable | Decrease |
| Firearm Season Option | Harvest | Harvest | Harvest |
| 7 day antlered \& 7 day concurrent | 26,000 | $\mathbf{2 1 , 0 0 0}$ | 16,000 |
| 14 day concurrent | 24,000 | $\mathbf{1 9 , 0 0 0}$ | 15,000 |
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WMU Characteristics
WMU 3B

| \% Developed | \% Forest | \%Ag/Field | \%Public | Area (sq mi) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $6 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $21 \%$ | 2,218 |

Deer Harvest
Antlered Harvest

| Year | Antlered | Antlerless | Allocation Lic/Deer ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 2005 | 5,980 | 10,871 | 41,000 | 3.7 |
| 2006 | 6,530 | 10,563 | 43,000 | 4.0 |
| 2007 | 5,933 | 10,177 | 43,000 | 4.2 |
| 2008 | 5,469 | 9,857 | 43,000 | 4.3 |
| 2009 | 4,865 | 9,112 | 43,000 | 4.7 |
| 2010 | 5,369 | 7,585 | 33,761 | 4.5 |
| 2011 | 5,935 | 7,707 | 40,000 | 5.2 |
| 2012 | 5,752 | 8,701 | 40,000 | 4.6 |
| 2013 | 6,153 | 8,718 | 39,000 | 4.5 |
| 2014 | 6,039 | 8,055 | 33,000 | 4.1 |
| 2015 | 6,840 | 7,359 | 28,000 | 3.8 |
| 2016 | 7,481 | 7,290 | 28,000 | 3.8 |
| 2017 | 8,945 | 6,970 | 30,000 | 4.3 |
| 2018 | 6,977 | 8,354 | 29,000 | 3.5 |
| 2019 | 7,558 | 10,264 | 38,000 | 3.7 |


RED=7-day season

| Deer Population | Trend |
| :--- | :--- |


| Year | Total |
| :---: | ---: |
| 2008 | 56,162 |
| 2009 | 46,869 |
| 2010 | 48,895 |
| 2011 | 49,768 |
| 2012 | 58,481 |
| 2013 | 53,709 |
| 2014 | 63,803 |
| 2015 | 55,249 |
| 2016 | 76,808 |
| 2017 | 80,598 |
| 2018 | 76,249 |
| 2019 | 51,976 |
| 2020 | 62,489 |




| Antlerless Allocation Options |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Increase | Stable | Decrease |
| Firearm Season Option | Harvest | Harvest | Harvest |
| 7 day antlered \& 7 day concurrent | 41,000 | $\mathbf{3 3 , 0 0 0}$ | 24,000 |
| 14 day concurrent | 38,000 | $\mathbf{3 0 , 0 0 0}$ | 22,000 |
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|  | WMU Characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| WMU | $3 C$ | \% Developed | \% Forest | \%Ag/Field | \%Public |  |
| Area (sq mi) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $4 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $3 \%$ | 2,187 |  |  |

Deer Harvest
Antlered Harvest

| Year | Antlered | Antlerless | Allocation Lic/Deer $^{1}$ |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 2005 | 5,821 | 11,198 | 32,000 | 2.8 |
| 2006 | 6,673 | 9,248 | 27,000 | 2.9 |
| 2007 | 5,278 | 9,586 | 27,000 | 2.8 |
| 2008 | 6,288 | 7,258 | 27,000 | 3.7 |
| 2009 | 6,196 | 7,084 | 27,000 | 3.9 |
| 2010 | 6,211 | 8,309 | 26,358 | 3.2 |
| 2011 | 7,103 | 9,943 | 29,000 | 2.9 |
| 2012 | 7,854 | 10,508 | 35,000 | 3.3 |
| 2013 | 7,004 | 12,683 | 35,000 | 2.8 |
| 2014 | 6,526 | 10,302 | 32,000 | 3.1 |
| 2015 | 7,614 | 10,460 | 36,000 | 3.4 |
| 2016 | 8,629 | 10,968 | 36,000 | 3.3 |
| 2017 | 8,703 | 11,860 | 42,000 | 3.5 |
| 2018 | 7,739 | 12,172 | 38,000 | 3.1 |
| 2019 | 9,382 | 12,808 | 46,000 | 3.6 |



RED=7-day season

| POST-HUNT Deer Population | Trend |
| :--- | :---: |


| Year | Total |
| :---: | ---: |
| 2008 | 45,511 |
| 2009 | 54,141 |
| 2010 | 65,624 |
| 2011 | 59,245 |
| 2012 | 64,359 |
| 2013 | 67,720 |
| 2014 | 58,925 |
| 2015 | 67,997 |
| 2016 | 83,206 |
| 2017 | 85,083 |
| 2018 | 79,925 |
| 2019 | 57,169 |
| 2020 | 75,360 |




| FOREST HEALTH |  | Regeneration Assessment | Fair |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Plot - Plot Regeneration No change | Plot - Plot Deer Impact | No change | Mean Deer Impact |



| Citizen Survey Results | 2019 (2011) | Too High | 30\%(10\%) | Just Right | 55\%(61\%) | Too Low | 11\%(20\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Antlerless Allocation Options |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Increase | Stable | Decrease |
| Firearm Season Option | Harvest | Harvest | Harvest |
| 7 day antlered \& 7 day concurrent | $\mathbf{4 9 , 0 0 0}$ | 42,000 | 34,000 |
| 14 day concurrent | $\mathbf{3 7 , 0 0 0}$ | 32,000 | 26,000 |
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RED=7-day season

| POST-HUNT Deer Population | Trend |
| :--- | :--- |


| Year | Total |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2008 | 31,623 |
| 2009 | 37,563 |
| 2010 | 25,378 |
| 2011 | 30,250 |
| 2012 | 31,299 |
| 2013 | 29,225 |
| 2014 | 25,127 |
| 2015 | 33,778 |
| 2016 | 28,957 |
| 2017 | 33,302 |
| 2018 | 30,727 |
| 2019 | 33,798 |
| 2020 | 48,663 |




| FOREST HEALTH |  | Regeneration Assessment | Fair |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Plot-Plot Regeneration No change | Plot-Plot Deer Impact | No change | Mean Deer Impact |


| Year | \% Adequate |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2003-07$ | $56 \%$ |
| $2004-08$ | $54 \%$ |
| $2005-09$ | $55 \%$ |
| $2006-10$ | $58 \%$ |
| $2007-11$ | $57 \%$ |
| $2008-12$ | $59 \%$ |
| $2009-13$ | $61 \%$ |
| $2010-14$ | $61 \%$ |
| $2011-15$ | $57 \%$ |
| $2012-16$ | $63 \%$ |
| $2013-17$ | $57 \%$ |
| $2014-18$ | $59 \%$ |



| Citizen Survey Results 2019 (2011) $\quad$ Too High | 30\%(13\%) Just Right | 52\%(57\%) | Too Low | 13\%(24\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Antlerless Allocation Options |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Increase | Stable | Decrease |
| Firearm Season Option | Harvest | Harvest | Harvest |
| 7 day antlered \& 7 day concurrent | $\mathbf{3 6 , 0 0 0}$ | 25,000 | 15,000 |
| 14 day concurrent | $\mathbf{3 3 , 0 0 0}$ | 23,000 | 14,000 |
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WMU Characteristics
WMU 4A

| \% Developed | \% Forest | \%Ag/Field | \%Public | Area (sq mi) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $4 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $15 \%$ | 1,736 |

Deer Harvest
Antlered Harvest

| Year | Antlered | Antlerless | Allocation | Lic/Deer ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 | 3,714 | 7,578 | 35,000 | 4.5 |  |  |
| 2006 | 5,871 | 7,827 | 29,000 | 3.6 |  |  |
| 2007 | 4,477 | 6,735 | 29,000 | 4.2 | 10,000 |  |
| 2008 | 4,187 | 6,874 | 29,000 | 4.2 |  |  |
| 2009 | 3,733 | 7,414 | 29,000 | 3.9 | 8,000 |  |
| 2010 | 3,761 | 6,401 | 27,521 | 4.3 |  |  |
| 2011 | 4,849 | 6,527 | 28,000 | 4.3 | 6,000 |  |
| 2012 | 4,245 | 6,463 | 29,000 | 4.5 |  |  |
| 2013 | 4,961 | 5,981 | 28,000 | 4.7 |  |  |
| 2014 | 3,317 | 6,802 | 28,000 | 5.6 | 4,000 |  |
| 2015 | 5,095 | 6,360 | 30,000 | 4.7 |  |  |
| 2016 | 4,423 | 5,726 | 30,000 | 5.2 | 2,000 |  |
| 2017 | 4,810 | 6,475 | 30,000 | 4.6 |  |  |
| 2018 | 5,142 | 6,395 | 38,000 | 5.5 | - |  |
| 2019 | 5,981 | 5,250 | 41,000 | 5.8 |  |  |

RED=7-day season

| POST-HUNT Deer Population | Trend |
| :--- | :--- |


| Year | Total |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2008 | 47,414 |
| 2009 | 34,628 |
| 2010 | 30,789 |
| 2011 | 38,125 |
| 2012 | 49,191 |
| 2013 | 36,579 |
| 2014 | 42,196 |
| 2015 | 23,772 |
| 2016 | 48,538 |
| 2017 | 29,746 |
| 2018 | 39,238 |
| 2019 | 42,174 |
| 2020 | 47,047 |



| Year | Total |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2008 | 0.34 |
| 2009 | 0.34 |
| 2010 | 0.32 |
| 2011 | 0.38 |
| 2012 | 0.32 |
| 2013 | 0.30 |
| 2014 | 0.32 |
| 2015 | 0.37 |
| 2016 | 0.29 |
| 2017 | 0.30 |
| 2018 | 0.30 |
| 2019 | 0.30 |



| FOREST HEALTH |  |  | Regeneration Assessment | Good |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| Year | \% Adequate |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2003-07$ | $60 \%$ |
| $2004-08$ | $64 \%$ |
| $2005-09$ | $64 \%$ |
| $2006-10$ | $61 \%$ |
| $2007-11$ | $63 \%$ |
| $2008-12$ | $60 \%$ |
| $2009-13$ | $59 \%$ |
| $2010-14$ | $61 \%$ |
| $2011-15$ | $63 \%$ |
| $2012-16$ | $67 \%$ |
| $2013-17$ | $68 \%$ |
| $2014-18$ | $75 \%$ |



| Citizen Survey Results 2019 (2011) | Too High | 14\%(4\%) | Just Right | 45\%(45\%) | Too Low |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Antlerless Allocation Options |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Increase | Stable | Decrease |
| Firearm Season Option | Harvest | Harvest | Harvest |
| 7 day antlered \& 7 day concurrent | $\mathbf{5 6 , 0 0 0}$ | 42,000 | 33,000 |
| 14 day concurrent | $\mathbf{4 9 , 0 0 0}$ | 37,000 | 29,000 |
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RED=7-day season

| POST-HUNT Deer Population | Trend |
| :--- | :--- |


| Year | Total |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2008 | 30,479 |
| 2009 | 39,044 |
| 2010 | 43,550 |
| 2011 | 37,273 |
| 2012 | 60,340 |
| 2013 | 52,903 |
| 2014 | 50,517 |
| 2015 | 45,362 |
| 2016 | 57,846 |
| 2017 | 55,941 |
| 2018 | 52,407 |
| 2019 | 50,252 |
| 2020 | 54,044 |




| FOREST HEALTH |  | Regeneration Assessment | Fair |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Plot - Plot Regeneration No change | Plot - Plot Deer Impact | No change | Mean Deer Impact |
| 3 | 3 or less |  |  |  |


| Year | \% Adequate |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2003-07$ | $61 \%$ |
| $2004-08$ | $60 \%$ |
| $2005-09$ | $58 \%$ |
| $2006-10$ | $60 \%$ |
| $2007-11$ | $64 \%$ |
| $2008-12$ | $61 \%$ |
| $2009-13$ | $59 \%$ |
| $2010-14$ | $60 \%$ |
| $2011-15$ | $63 \%$ |
| $2012-16$ | $68 \%$ |
| $2013-17$ | $59 \%$ |
| $2014-18$ | $57 \%$ |



| Citizen Survey Results | 2019 (2011) | Too High | 16\%(6\%) | Just Right | 53\%(53\%) | Too Low | 21\%(33\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Antlerless Allocation Options |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Increase | Stable | Decrease |
| Firearm Season Option | Harvest | Harvest | Harvest |
| 7 day antlered \& 7 day concurrent | $\mathbf{3 9 , 0 0 0}$ | 29,000 | 23,000 |
| 14 day concurrent | 33,000 | 25,000 | 20,000 |
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RED=7-day season

| POST-HUNT Deer Population | Trend |
| :--- | :--- |


| Year | Total |
| :--- | :---: |
| 2008 | 44,569 |
| 2009 | 45,224 |
| 2010 | 44,256 |
| 2011 | 58,091 |
| 2012 | 45,093 |
| 2013 | 45,586 |
| 2014 | 49,072 |
| 2015 | 50,265 |
| 2016 | 55,068 |
| 2017 | 55,311 |
| 2018 | 61,317 |
| 2019 | 55,122 |
| 2020 | 55,238 |




| FOREST HEALTH |  | Regeneration Assessment | Fair |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Plot-Plot Regeneration No change | Plot - Plot Deer Impact | No change | Mean Deer Impact |
|  | 3 or less |  |  |  |


| Year | \% Adequate |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2003-07$ | $66 \%$ |
| $2004-08$ | $63 \%$ |
| $2005-09$ | $63 \%$ |
| $2006-10$ | $63 \%$ |
| $2007-11$ | $60 \%$ |
| $2008-12$ | $61 \%$ |
| $2009-13$ | $62 \%$ |
| $2010-14$ | $58 \%$ |
| $2011-15$ | $60 \%$ |
| $2012-16$ | $59 \%$ |
| $2013-17$ | $60 \%$ |
| $2014-18$ | $61 \%$ |



| Citizen Survey Results 2019 (2011) | Too High | $23 \%(7 \%)$ | Just Right | 52\%(56\%) | Too Low | 21\%(26\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Antlerless Allocation Options |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Increase | Stable | Decrease <br> Firearm Season Option |
| 7 day antlered \& 7 day concurrent | 39,000 | $\mathbf{3 2 , 0 0 0}$ | 24,000 |
| 14 day concurrent | 34,000 | $\mathbf{2 8 , 0 0 0}$ | 21,000 |

[^16]2020-21 Pennsylvania Game Commission Antlerless Allocation Worksheet

|  | WMU Characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| WMU $4 D \quad$ \% Developed | \% Forest | \%Ag/Field | \%Public | Area (sq mi) |  |  |
| $6 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $28 \%$ | 2,743 |  |  |

Deer Harvest
Antlered Harvest

| Year | Antlered | Antlerless | Allocation Lic/Deer ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 2005 | 5,591 | 8,354 | 40,000 | 4.7 |
| 2006 | 6,776 | 9,878 | 40,000 | 4.0 |
| 2007 | 5,765 | 8,073 | 40,000 | 4.9 |
| 2008 | 6,593 | 9,310 | 40,000 | 4.2 |
| 2009 | 4,971 | 7,192 | 40,000 | 5.6 |
| 2010 | 6,321 | 5,472 | 30,052 | 5.6 |
| 2011 | 7,144 | 6,561 | 37,000 | 5.7 |
| 2012 | 6,922 | 6,325 | 36,000 | 5.7 |
| 2013 | 7,165 | 8,225 | 35,000 | 4.3 |
| 2014 | 6,461 | 6,832 | 33,000 | 5.0 |
| 2015 | 7,240 | 7,197 | 33,000 | 4.6 |
| 2016 | 7,921 | 7,234 | 34,000 | 4.7 |
| 2017 | 10,594 | 8,381 | 34,000 | 4.0 |
| 2018 | 8,299 | 8,703 | 34,000 | 3.9 |
| 2019 | 8,740 | 10,266 | 46,000 | 4.5 |



RED=7-day season

| POST-HUNT Deer Population | Trend |
| :--- | :--- |


| Year | Total |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2008 | 43,299 |
| 2009 | 62,529 |
| 2010 | 46,284 |
| 2011 | 73,017 |
| 2012 | 70,495 |
| 2013 | 67,011 |
| 2014 | 61,428 |
| 2015 | 56,905 |
| 2016 | 60,398 |
| 2017 | 63,984 |
| 2018 | 99,997 |
| 2019 | 61,822 |
| 2020 | 71,983 |



| Year | Total |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2008 | 0.34 |
| 2009 | 0.31 |
| 2010 | 0.39 |
| 2011 | 0.36 |
| 2012 | 0.37 |
| 2013 | 0.36 |
| 2014 | 0.31 |
| 2015 | 0.32 |
| 2016 | 0.31 |
| 2017 | 0.31 |
| 2018 | 0.29 |
| 2019 | 0.30 |



| FOREST HEALTH |  | Regeneration Assessment | Fair |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Plot - Plot Regeneration No change | Plot - Plot Deer Impact | No change | Mean Deer Impact |
|  | 3 or less |  |  |  |


| Year | \% Adequate |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2003-07$ | $43 \%$ |
| $2004-08$ | $43 \%$ |
| $2005-09$ | $43 \%$ |
| $2006-10$ | $44 \%$ |
| $2007-11$ | $43 \%$ |
| $2008-12$ | $48 \%$ |
| $2009-13$ | $49 \%$ |
| $2010-14$ | $48 \%$ |
| $2011-15$ | $52 \%$ |
| $2012-16$ | $53 \%$ |
| $2013-17$ | $48 \%$ |
| $2014-18$ | $50 \%$ |



| Citizen Survey Results | 2019 (2011) | Too High | 20\%(8\%) | Just Right | 48\%(46\%) | Too Low | 26\%(38\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Antlerless Allocation Options |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Increase | Stable | Decrease |
| Firearm Season Option | Harvest | Harvest | Harvest |
| 7 day antlered \& 7 day concurrent | $\mathbf{5 1 , 0 0 0}$ | 39,000 | 28,000 |
| 14 day concurrent | $\mathbf{4 5 , 0 0 0}$ | 34,000 | 25,000 |
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RED=7-day season

| POST-HUNT Deer Population | Trend |
| :--- | :--- |


| Year | Total |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2008 | 35,121 |
| 2009 | 37,339 |
| 2010 | 36,311 |
| 2011 | 51,706 |
| 2012 | 44,225 |
| 2013 | 48,318 |
| 2014 | 50,707 |
| 2015 | 59,206 |
| 2016 | 64,923 |
| 2017 | 62,285 |
| 2018 | 70,064 |
| 2019 | 60,055 |
| 2020 | 59,120 |




| FOREST HEALTH | Regeneration Assessment |  |  | Fair |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Plot - Plot Regeneration No change | Plot - Plot Deer Impact No Change | Mean Deer Impact | >3 |


| Year | \% Adequate |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2003-07$ | $68 \%$ |
| $2004-08$ | $68 \%$ |
| $2005-09$ | $65 \%$ |
| $2006-10$ | $66 \%$ |
| $2007-11$ | $65 \%$ |
| $2008-12$ | $60 \%$ |
| $2009-13$ | $64 \%$ |
| $2010-14$ | $56 \%$ |
| $2011-15$ | $56 \%$ |
| $2012-16$ | $67 \%$ |
| $2013-17$ | $69 \%$ |
| $2014-18$ | $65 \%$ |



| Citizen Survey Results $2019(2011)$ | Too High | 30\%(8\%) | Just Right | 50\%(58\%) | Too Low | 16\%(28\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Antlerless Allocation Options |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Increase | Stable | Decrease |
| Firearm Season Option | Harvest | Harvest | Harvest |
| 7 day antlered \& 7 day concurrent | $\mathbf{3 7 , 0 0 0}$ | 31,000 | 25,000 |
| 14 day concurrent | $\mathbf{3 6 , 0 0 0}$ | 30,000 | 24,000 |
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WMU Characteristics
WMU 5A

| \% Developed | \% Forest | \%Ag/Field | \%Public | Area (sq mi) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $14 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $11 \%$ | 1,301 |

Deer Harvest
Antlered Harvest

| Year | Antlered | Antlerless | Allocation | Lic/Deer ${ }^{1}$ | 12,000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 | 2,396 | 4,690 | 28,000 | 5.8 |  |  |
| 2006 | 2,155 | 5,207 | 25,000 | 4.7 |  |  |
| 2007 | 2,433 | 3,881 | 22,000 | 5.5 | 10,000 |  |
| 2008 | 2,057 | 3,778 | 19,000 | 4.9 |  |  |
| 2009 | 2,237 | 4,194 | 19,000 | 4.6 | 8,000 |  |
| 2010 | 2,442 | 3,398 | 18,269 | 5.4 |  |  |
| 2011 | 3,575 | 3,573 | 19,000 | 5.3 | 6,000 |  |
| 2012 | 2,795 | 3,596 | 19,000 | 5.3 |  |  |
| 2013 | 2,825 | 4,098 | 19,000 | 4.6 |  |  |
| 2014 | 2,377 | 3,282 | 19,000 | 5.8 | 4,000 |  |
| 2015 | 2,862 | 4,631 | 19,000 | 4.1 |  | $\square \square$ |
| 2016 | 3,017 | 4,047 | 19,000 | 4.7 | 2,000 | - - - - - - - - - - - - |
| 2017 | 2,925 | 3,811 | 22,000 | 5.7 |  |  |
| 2018 | 3,091 | 4,649 | 23,000 | 4.9 | - |  |
| 2019 | 3,406 | 4,951 | 22,000 | 4.4 |  |  |

RED=7-day season

| POST-HUNT Deer Population | Trend |
| :--- | :--- |


| Year | Total |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2008 | 22,602 |
| 2009 | 20,504 |
| 2010 | 20,512 |
| 2011 | 21,098 |
| 2012 | 35,598 |
| 2013 | 28,014 |
| 2014 | 29,715 |
| 2015 | 25,032 |
| 2016 | 20,081 |
| 2017 | 28,581 |
| 2018 | 33,243 |
| 2019 | 25,162 |
| 2020 | 49,801 |




| FOREST HEALTH |  |  | Regeneration Assessment | Fair |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Plot - Plot Regeneration | - | Plot - Plot Deer Impact | - | Mean Deer Impact |


| Year | \% Adequate |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2003-07$ | $75 \%$ |
| $2004-08$ | $74 \%$ |
| $2005-09$ | $72 \%$ |
| $2006-10$ | $73 \%$ |
| $2007-11$ | $72 \%$ |
| $2008-12$ | $66 \%$ |
| $2009-13$ | $67 \%$ |
| $2010-14$ | $75 \%$ |
| $2011-15$ | $58 \%$ |
| $2012-16$ | $52 \%$ |
| $2013-17$ | $60 \%$ |
| $2014-18$ | $65 \%$ |



| Citizen Survey Results $2019(2011)$ | Too High | 19\%(5\%) | Just Right | 53\%(58\%) | Too Low | 23\%(25\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Antlerless Allocation Options |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Increase | Stable | Decrease |
| Firearm Season Option | Harvest | Harvest | Harvest |
| 7 day antlered \& 7 day concurrent | $\mathbf{2 9 , 0 0 0}$ | 23,000 | 16,000 |
| 14 day concurrent | $\mathbf{2 6 , 0 0 0}$ | 21,000 | 15,000 |
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RED=7-day season

| POST-HUNT Deer Population | Trend |
| :--- | :--- |


| Year | Total |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2008 | 54,020 |
| 2009 | 59,568 |
| 2010 | 53,213 |
| 2011 | 55,951 |
| 2012 | 60,723 |
| 2013 | 75,260 |
| 2014 | 63,591 |
| 2015 | 60,538 |
| 2016 | 66,282 |
| 2017 | 73,573 |
| 2018 | 85,790 |
| 2019 | 77,893 |
| 2020 | 76,623 |




| FOREST HEALTH |  |  | Regeneration Assessment | Fair |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Plot - Plot Regeneration | - | Plot - Plot Deer Impact | - | Mean Deer Impact 3 or less |


| Year | \% Adequate |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2003-07$ | $53 \%$ |
| $2004-08$ | $52 \%$ |
| $2005-09$ | $48 \%$ |
| $2006-10$ | $46 \%$ |
| $2007-11$ | $47 \%$ |
| $2008-12$ | $52 \%$ |
| $2009-13$ | $54 \%$ |
| $2010-14$ | $38 \%$ |
| $2011-15$ | $55 \%$ |
| $2012-16$ | $51 \%$ |
| $2013-17$ | $49 \%$ |
| $2014-18$ | $52 \%$ |



| Citizen Survey Results 2019 (2011) | Too High | 19\%(13\%) Just Right | 51\% (58\%) | Too Low | 20\%(21\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Antlerless Allocation Options |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Increase | Stable | Decrease |
| Firearm Season Option | Harvest | Harvest | Harvest |
| 7 day antlered \& 7 day concurrent | 72,000 | $\mathbf{6 0 , 0 0 0}$ | 49,000 |
| 14 day concurrent | 70,000 | $\mathbf{5 8 , 0 0 0}$ | 47,000 |
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* WMU Boundary Change

| POST-HUNT Deer Population | Trend |
| :--- | :--- |


| Year | Total |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2008 |  |
| 2009 |  |
| 2010 | Harvest indices (i.e., antlered harvest, antlerless lic/deer), not PASAK model, used to monitor population trend |
| 2011 |  |
| 2012 |  |
| 2013 |  |
| 2014 |  |


| DEER HEALTH: Fawn to Doe Ratio | Approximately $\mathbf{1 \%}$ of WMU is a CWD DMA in 2018 | Trend | Stable |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Year | Total |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2008 | 0.44 |
| 2009 | 0.47 |
| 2010 | 0.43 |
| 2011 | 0.46 |
| 2012 | 0.49 |
| 2013 | 0.43 |
| 2014 | 0.42 |
| 2015 | 0.40 |
| 2016 | 0.44 |
| 2017 | 0.40 |
| 2018 | 0.38 |
| 2019 | 0.32 |



| FOREST HEALTH |  | Regeneration Assessment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Plot - Plot Regeneration | Plot - Plot Deer Impact | Mean Deer Impact |


| Year \%Adequate |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2003-07$ |  |
| $2004-08$ |  |
| $2005-09$ |  |
| $2006-10$ |  |
| $2007-11$ |  |
| $2008-12$ |  |
| $2009-13$ | Forest data not considered in this developed WMU |


| Citizen Survey Results | 2019 (2011) | Too High | $33 \%(30 \%)$ | Just Right | 51\%(55\%) | Too Low | 8\%(9\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Antlerless Allocation Options |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Increase | Stable | Decrease |
| Firearm Season Option | Harvest | Harvest | Harvest |
| 14 day concurrent | 79,000 | $\mathbf{7 0 , 0 0 0}$ | 61,000 |
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| POST-HUNT Deer Population | Trend |
| :--- | :--- |


| Year | Total |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2008 |  |
| 2009 |  |
| 2010 | Harvest indices (i.e., antlered harvest, antlerless lic/deer), not PASAK model, used to monitor population trend |
| 2011 |  |
| 2012 |  |
| 2013 |  |
| 2014 |  |



| Year \% Adequate |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2003-07$ |  |
| $2004-08$ |  |
| $2005-09$ |  |
| $2006-10$ |  |
| $2007-11$ |  |
| $2008-12$ |  |
| $2009-13$ | Forest data not considered in this developed WMU |


| Citizen Survey Results | 2019 (2011) | Too High | $33 \%(25 \%)$ | Just Right | 51\%(55\%) | Too Low |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Antlerless Allocation Options |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Increase | Stable | Decrease |
| Firearm Season Option | Harvest | Harvest | Harvest |
| 14 day concurrent | 35,000 | $\mathbf{2 9 , 0 0 0}$ | 23,000 |
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ - The number of antlerless licenses sold that it takes to harvest an antlerless deer. The number sold will differ from the allocation.
    ${ }^{2}$ - Harvest fawn to doe ratio is calculated as percent of fawns in the antlerless harvest.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ - The number of antlerless licenses sold that it takes to harvest an antlerless deer. The number sold will differ from the allocation.
    ${ }^{2}$ - Harvest fawn to doe ratio is calculated as percent of fawns in the antlerless harvest.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ - The number of antlerless licenses sold that it takes to harvest an antlerless deer. The number sold will differ from the allocation.
    ${ }^{2}$ - Harvest fawn to doe ratio is calculated as percent of fawns in the antlerless harvest.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ - The number of antlerless licenses sold that it takes to harvest an antlerless deer. The number sold will differ from the allocation.
    ${ }^{2}$ - Harvest fawn to doe ratio is calculated as percent of fawns in the antlerless harvest.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ - The number of antlerless licenses sold that it takes to harvest an antlerless deer. The number sold will differ from the allocation.
    ${ }^{2}$ - Harvest fawn to doe ratio is calculated as percent of fawns in the antlerless harvest.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ - The number of antlerless licenses sold that it takes to harvest an antlerless deer. The number sold will differ from the allocation
    ${ }^{2}$ - Harvest fawn to doe ratio is calculated as percent of fawns in the antlerless harvest.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ - The number of antlerless licenses sold that it takes to harvest an antlerless deer. The number sold will differ from the allocation
    ${ }^{2}$ - Harvest fawn to doe ratio is calculated as percent of fawns in the antlerless harvest.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ - The number of antlerless licenses sold that it takes to harvest an antlerless deer. The number sold will differ from the allocation.
    ${ }^{2}$ - Harvest fawn to doe ratio is calculated as percent of fawns in the antlerless harvest.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ - The number of antlerless licenses sold that it takes to harvest an antlerless deer. The number sold will differ from the allocation.
    ${ }^{2}$ - Harvest fawn to doe ratio is calculated as percent of fawns in the antlerless harvest.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ - The number of antlerless licenses sold that it takes to harvest an antlerless deer. The number sold will differ from the allocation.
    ${ }^{2}$ - Harvest fawn to doe ratio is calculated as percent of fawns in the antlerless harvest.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ - The number of antlerless licenses sold that it takes to harvest an antlerless deer. The number sold will differ from the allocation
    ${ }^{2}$ - Harvest fawn to doe ratio is calculated as percent of fawns in the antlerless harvest.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ - The number of antlerless licenses sold that it takes to harvest an antlerless deer. The number sold will differ from the allocation.
    ${ }^{2}$ - Harvest fawn to doe ratio is calculated as percent of fawns in the antlerless harvest.

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ - The number of antlerless licenses sold that it takes to harvest an antlerless deer. The number sold will differ from the allocation.
    ${ }^{2}$ - Harvest fawn to doe ratio is calculated as percent of fawns in the antlerless harvest.

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ - The number of antlerless licenses sold that it takes to harvest an antlerless deer. The number sold will differ from the allocation.
    ${ }^{2}$ - Harvest fawn to doe ratio is calculated as percent of fawns in the antlerless harvest.

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ - The number of antlerless licenses sold that it takes to harvest an antlerless deer. The number sold will differ from the allocation.
    ${ }^{2}$ - Harvest fawn to doe ratio is calculated as percent of fawns in the antlerless harvest.

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ - The number of antlerless licenses sold that it takes to harvest an antlerless deer. The number sold will differ from the allocation
    ${ }^{2}$ - Harvest fawn to doe ratio is calculated as percent of fawns in the antlerless harvest.

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ - The number of antlerless licenses sold that it takes to harvest an antlerless deer. The number sold will differ from the allocation
    ${ }^{2}$ - Harvest fawn to doe ratio is calculated as percent of fawns in the antlerless harvest.

[^17]:    ${ }^{1}$ - The number of antlerless licenses sold that it takes to harvest an antlerless deer. The number sold will differ from the allocation
    ${ }^{2}$ - Harvest fawn to doe ratio is calculated as percent of fawns in the antlerless harvest.

[^18]:    ${ }^{1}$ - The number of antlerless licenses sold that it takes to harvest an antlerless deer. The number sold will differ from the allocation
    ${ }^{2}$ - Harvest fawn to doe ratio is calculated as percent of fawns in the antlerless harvest.

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ - The number of antlerless licenses sold that it takes to harvest an antlerless deer. The number sold will differ from the allocation.
    ${ }^{2}$ - Harvest fawn to doe ratio is calculated as percent of fawns in the antlerless harvest.

[^20]:    ${ }^{1}$ - The number of antlerless licenses sold that it takes to harvest an antlerless deer. The number sold will differ from the allocation
    ${ }^{2}$ - Harvest fawn to doe ratio is calculated as percent of fawns in the antlerless harvest.

[^21]:    ${ }^{1}$ - The number of antlerless licenses sold that it takes to harvest an antlerless deer. The number sold will differ from the allocation.
    ${ }^{2}$ - Harvest fawn to doe ratio is calculated as percent of fawns in the antlerless harvest.

[^22]:    ${ }^{1}$ - The number of antlerless licenses sold that it takes to harvest an antlerless deer. The number sold will differ from the allocation.
    ${ }^{2}$ - Harvest fawn to doe ratio is calculated as percent of fawns in the antlerless harvest.

